Politics, Communication and Democracy

View Online

1.

Curran J, Taylor & Francis Group. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744

2.

Neilsen RK. The Power of Platforms. Inaugural Lecture, Oxford University. 2018; Available from: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/risj-review/lecture-power-platforms

3.

United Kingdom - Reuters Institute Digital News Report [Internet]. 2018. Available from: http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/survey/2018/united-kingdom-2018/

4.

Ananny M. Networked press freedom: creating infrastructures for a public right to hear [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2019. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.7551/mitpress/9780262037747.001.0001/upso-9780262037747

5.

Bell E. Who owns the news consumer: Social media platforms or publishers? Columbia Journalism Review [Internet]. 2016; Available from: https://www.cjr.org/tow_center/platforms_and_publishers_new_research_from_the_tow_center.php

6.

Sloan L, Quan-Haase A. The Sage handbook of social media research methods [Internet]. First edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Inc; 2016. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://methods.sagepub.com/book/the-sage-handbook-of-social-media-research-methods

7.

Nechushtai E. Could digital platforms capture the media through infrastructure? Journalism. 2018 Aug;19(8):1043–58.

8.

Reuters Institute Digital News Report 2017 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.digitalnewsreport.org/

9.

Nielsen RK. Varieties of Online Gatekeeping. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2014;

10.

Kleis Nielsen R, Ganter SA. Dealing with digital intermediaries: A case study of the relations between publishers and platforms. New Media & Society. 2018 Apr;20(4):1600–17.

11.

Peters C, Broersma MJ, editors. Rethinking journalism again: societal role and public relevance in a digital age [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2017. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781317506416

12.

Perloff RM, Ebooks Corporation Limited. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2014. Available from:

http://GLA.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1575976

13.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer. Available from:
http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/

14.

Pew Research Centre. Election 2016: Campaigns as a Direct Source of News. Available
from: http://www.journalism.org/topics/2016-election/

15.

Pew Research Centre. The Modern News Consumer. Available from:
http://www.journalism.org/2016/07/07/the-modern-news-consumer/

16.

Waisbord S. Truth is What Happens to News. Journalism Studies. 2018 Oct
3;19(13):1866–78.

17.

Toril Aalberg and James Curran, editor. How media inform democracy: a comparative
approach. Vol. Series: Routledge new developments in communication and society
research. New York, N.Y.: Routledge; 2012.

18.

C. Edwin Baker. Media, markets, and democracy. Vol. Series: Communication, society, and
politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.

19.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from:
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744

20.

Geneva Overholser, Kathleen Hall Jamieson, editor. The press [Internet]. Vol. Series: Institutions of American democracy series. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available from:
http://lib.myilibrary.com?id=84383&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth

21.

J. Curran, S. Iyengar, A. Brink Lund, I. Salovaara-Moring. Media System, Public Knowledge and Democracy: A Comparative Study. European Journal of Communication. 2009 Mar 1;24(1):5–26.

22.

Graber, Doris. THE MEDIA AND DEMOCRACY: Beyond Myths and Stereotypes. Annual Review of Political Science [Internet]. 2003;6(1):139–60. Available from:
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=10609378&site=ehost-live

23.

Denis McQuail. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest. London: Sage Publications; 1992.

24.

Nielsen RK. The One Thing Journalism Just Might do for Democracy. Journalism Studies. 2017 Oct 3;18(10):1251–62.

25.

M. Scammell. Rethinking the Media's Duties to Democracy: watchdog, information and representation [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Journalism%20Democracy%20%26%20Public%20Interest.pdf

26.

Michael Schudson. The power of news. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 1995.

27.

Schudson M, Dawson Books. Why democracies need an unlovable press [Internet]. Cambridge: Polity Press; 2008. Available from: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=97807456753 12

28.

Frank Esser, Jesper Stromback, editor. Mediatization of politics: understanding the transformation of western democracies [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doifinder/10.1057/9 781137275844

29.

Fred S. Siebert, Theodore Peterson, Wilbur Schramm. Four theories of the press. Chicago, Ill: University of Illinois Press; 1984.

30.

John Street. Mass media, politics and democracy. 2nd ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.

31.

Jesper Strömbäck. In Search of a Standard: four models of democracy and their normative implications for journalism. Journalism Studies. 2005 Aug;6(3):331–45.

32.

David Tewksbury, Jason Rittenberg. News on the internet: information and citizenship in the 21st century. Vol. Series: Oxford studies in digital politics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

33.

Van Aelst P, Strömbäck J, Aalberg T, Esser F, de Vreese C, Matthes J, et al. Political communication in a high-choice media environment: a challenge for democracy? Annals of the International Communication Association. 2017 Jan 2;41(1):3–27.

34.

JOHN ZALLER. A New Standard of News Quality: Burglar Alarms for the Monitorial Citizen. Political Communication. 2003 Apr;20(2):109–30.

35.

Benjamin R. Barber. Strong democracy: participatory politics for a new age. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1984.

36.

Robert Alan Dahl. Democracy and its critics. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1989.

37.

David Held. Models of democracy. 2nd ed. Oxford: Polity; 1996.

38.

Brady AM. Plus ça change?: Media Control Under Xi Jinping. Problems of Post-Communism. 2017 Jul 4;64(3–4):128–40.

39.

Stockmann D. Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742

40.

Repnikova M. Media Openings and Political Transitions: versus. Problems

of Post-Communism. 2017 Jul 4;64(3–4):141–51.

41.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How the Chinese Government Fabricates Social Media Posts for Strategic Distraction, Not Engaged Argument. American Political Science Review. 2017 Aug;111(03):484–501.

42.

Roberts ME. Censored: distraction and diversion inside China's great firewall [Internet]. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2018. Available from: https://press.princeton.edu/titles/11341.html

43.

Liberation Technology. Journal of Democracy. 2010;21(3):69–83.

44.

Egorov G, Guriev S, Sonin K. Why Resource-poor Dictators Allow Freer Media: A Theory and Evidence from Panel Data. The American Political Science Review [Internet]. 2009;103(4):645–68. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/27798530

45.

Freedom of the Press 2017 [Internet]. Freedom House; 2013. Available from: https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-press/freedom-press-2017

46.

Guriev S, Treisma D. How Modern Dictators Survive: Cooptation, Censorship, Propaganda, and Repression. CEPR discussion papers [Internet]. 2015; Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion_papers/dp.php?dpno=10454

47.

Daniel C. Hallin, Paolo Mancini. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Vol. Series: Communication, society and politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

48.

Morozov E. The net delusion: how not to liberate the world. London: Allen Lane; 2011.

49.

Norris P, World Bank, editors. Public sentinel: news media & governance reform. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank; 2010.

50.

Pearce KE, Kendzior S. Networked Authoritarianism and Social Media in Azerbaijan. Journal of Communication. 2012 Apr;62(2):283–98.

51.

Reuter OJ, Szakonyi D. Online Social Media and Political Awareness in Authoritarian Regimes. British Journal of Political Science. 2015 Jan;45(01):29–51.

52.

Rød EG, Weidmann NB. Empowering activists or autocrats? The Internet in authoritarian regimes. Journal of Peace Research. 2015 May;52(3):338–51.

53.

Brady AM. Mass Persuasion as a Means of Legitimation and China's Popular Authoritarianism. American Behavioral Scientist. 2009 Nov;53(3):434–57.

54.

Brady AM, editor. China's thought management. Vol. 40. London: Routledge; 2014.

55.

Chen Y, Yang DY. The Impact of Media Censorship: Evidence from a Field Experiment in China [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://calendar.mit.edu/event/the_impact_of_media_censorship_evidence_from_a_field_experiment_in_china#.XDN7q2ngqUk

56.

Creemers R. Cyber China: Upgrading Propaganda, Public Opinion Work and Social Management for the Twenty-First Century. Journal of Contemporary China. 2017 Jan 2;26(103):85–100.

57.

Ebooks Corporation Limited. The Internet, social media, and a changing China [Internet]. deLisle J, Goldstein A, Yang G, editors. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=4540252

58.

Dimitrov MK. The Political Logic of Media Control in China. Problems of Post-Communism. 2017 Jul 4;64(3–4):121–7.

59.

Hassid J. Safety Valve or Pressure Cooker? Blogs in Chinese Political Life. Journal of Communication. 2012 Apr;62(2):212–30.

60.

HOBBS WR, ROBERTS ME. How Sudden Censorship Can Increase Access to Information. American Political Science Review. 2018 Aug;112(03):621–36.

61.

Huhe N, Tang M, Chen J. Creating Democratic Citizens: Political Effects of the Internet in China. Political Research Quarterly. 2018 Dec;71(4):757–71.

62.

King G, Pan J, Roberts ME. How Censorship in China Allows Government Criticism but Silences Collective Expression. American Political Science Review. 2013 May;107(02):326–43.

63.

Peter Lorentzen. China's Strategic Censorship. American Journal of Political Science. 2014 Apr;58(2):402–14.

64.

MacKinnon R. China's 'Networked Authoritarianism'. Journal of Democracy. 2011;22(2):32–46.

65.

Meng B, SpringerLink (Online service). The Politics of Chinese Media: Consensus and Contestation [Internet]. New York: Palgrave Macmillan US; 2018. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-46214-5

66.

Miller BA, Gallagher M. Astroturfing in China: Three Case Studies [Internet]. 2016. Available from: http://blakeapm.com/

67.

Qin B, Strömberg D, Wu Y. Why Does China Allow Freer Social Media? Protests versus Surveillance and Propaganda. The Journal of Economic Perspectives [Internet]. 2017;31(1). Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/44133953

68.

Rawnsley GD, Rawnsley MYT, editors. Routledge handbook of Chinese media. Paperback edition. London: Routledge; 2018.

69.

Repnikova M. Media politics in China: improvising power under authoritarianism. Cambridge, United Kingdom: University Printing House; 2017.

70.

Roberts ME, Stewart BM. Localization and Coordination: How Propaganda and Censorship Converge in Chinese Newspapers - Working paper [Internet]. 2014. Available from: https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/~/media/Files/Research/fordcenter/text-as-data-2014/RobertsStewartPropaganda.ashx

71.

Susan L. Shirk, editor. Changing media, changing China [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from: http://GLA.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=602492

72.

D. Stockmann, M. E. Gallagher. Remote Control: How the Media Sustain Authoritarian Rule in China. Comparative Political Studies. 2011 Apr 1;44(4):436–67.

73.

Stockmann D. Media Commercialization and Authoritarian Rule in China [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087742

74.

Sullivan J. China's Weibo: Is faster different? New Media & Society. 2014 Feb;16(1):24–37.

75.

Tager J, Glenn Bass K, Lopez S. Forbidden Feeds: Government Controls on Social Media in China [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://pen.org/research-resources/forbidden-feeds/

76.

L. Tang, H. Sampson. The interaction between mass media and the internet in non-democratic states: The case of China. Media, Culture & Society. 2012 May 1;34(4):457–71.

77.

Wang H, Sparks C, Lü N, Huang Y. Differences within the mainland Chinese press: a quantitative analysis. Asian Journal of Communication. 2017 Mar 4;27(2):154–71.

78.

Xin X. Popularizing party journalism in China in the age of social media: The case of Xinhua News Agency. Global Media and China. 2018 Mar;3(1):3–17.

79.

Yang G. Internet Activism & the Party-State in China. Daedalus. 2014 Apr;143(2):110–23.

80.

Peidong Y. 'Positive Energy': Hegemonic Intervention and Online Media Discourse in China's Xi Jinping Era. China: An International Journal [Internet]. 2018;16(1):1–22. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://muse.jhu.edu/article/688045

81.

Hallin DC, Mancini P. Comparing media systems beyond the western world. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.

82.

McQuail D. Media performance: mass communication and the public interest [Internet]. London: Sage Publications; 1992. Available from:

https://contentstore.cla.co.uk//secure/link?id=34349f5a-ef40-e911-80cd-005056af4099

83.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/978020383987 4

84.

Berry M. No alternative to austerity: how BBC broadcast news reported the deficit debate. Media, Culture & Society. 2016 Sep;38(6):844–63.

85.

Boden H. In Search of Unbiased Reporting in Light of Brexit, Trump and Other Reporting Challenges in the UK and US - Shorenstein Center. 2017; Available from: https://shorensteincenter.org/unbiased-reporting-brexit-trump-uk-us/

86.

Entman RM. Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. Journal of Communication. 2007 Mar;57(1):163–73.

87.

Hackett RA. Decline of a paradigm? Bias and objectivity in news media studies. Critical Studies in Mass Communication. 1984 Sep;1(3):229–59.

88.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. The Oxford handbook of political communication [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471 .001.0001

89.

Starkey G. Balance and bias in journalism: representation, regulation, and democracy. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007.

90.

Aday S, Livingston S, Hebert M. Embedding the Truth. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2005 Jan;10(1):3–21.

91.

Bell CV, Entman RM. The Media's Role in America's Exceptional Politics of Inequality. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2011 Oct;16(4):548–72.

92.

Benson R. Shaping immigration news: a French-American comparison. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2014.

93.

Boykoff MT, Boykoff JM. Climate change and journalistic norms: A case-study of US mass-media coverage. Geoforum. 2007 Nov;38(6):1190–204.

94.

Gamson WA, Modigliani A. Media Discourse and Public Opinion on Nuclear Power: A Constructionist Approach. American Journal of Sociology [Internet]. 1989;95(1). Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780405

95.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

96.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon:

Routledge; 2010. Available from:
https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

97.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2004
Jul;9(3):56–75.

98.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/

99.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK.
European Journal of Communication. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–45.

100.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. Race & Class. 2013
Oct;55(2):28–41.

101.

Roggeband C, Vliegenthart R. Divergent framing: The public debate on migration in the
Dutch parliament and media, 1995–2004. West European Politics. 2007 May;30(3):524–48.

102.

Rose M, Baumgartner FR. Framing the Poor: Media Coverage and U.S. Poverty Policy,
1960-2008. Policy Studies Journal. 2013 Feb;41(1):22–53.

103.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and
Television News. Journal of Communication. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109.

104.

Smith D, Deacon D. Immigration and the British news media: Continuity or change? Sociology Compass. 2018 Sep;12(9).

105.

American Behavioral Scientist : Special Issue Title: Framing Irregular Immigration in Western Media: Voices, Stories and Audience Impact. 2015;59(7). Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/absb/59/7

106.

Van Gorp B. Where is the Frame? European Journal of Communication. 2005 Dec;20(4):484–507.

107.

Johnston H, Noakes JA, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Frames of protest: social movements and the framing perspective [Internet]. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc; 2005. Available from: http://www.GLA.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1322109

108.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874

109.

Bennett WL, Lawrence RG, Livingston S. None Dare Call It Torture: Indexing and the Limits of Press Independence in the Abu Ghraib Scandal. Journal of Communication. 2006 Sep 1;56(3):467–85.

110.

Entman RM. Cascading Activation: Contesting the White House's Frame After 9/11. Political

Communication. 2003 Oct;20(4):415–32.

111.

Shehata A. Facing the Muhammad Cartoons: Official Dominance and Event-Driven News in Swedish and American Elite Press. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2007 Oct;12(4):131–53.

112.

Entman RM, Usher N. Framing in a Fractured Democracy: Impacts of Digital Technology on Ideology, Power and Cascading Network Activation. Journal of Communication. 2018 Apr 1;68(2):298–308.

113.

Bennett WL. Toward a Theory of Press-State Relations in the United States. Journal of Communication. 1990 Jun 1;40(2):103–27.

114.

Bennett WL, Livingston S. Editors' Introduction: A Semi-Independent Press: Government Control and Journalistic Autonomy in the Political Construction of News. Political Communication. 2003 Oct;20(4):359–62.

115.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. The Oxford handbook of political communication [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471.001.0001

116.

Ciboh R. Journalists and Political Sources in Nigeria. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2017 Apr;22(2):185–201.

117.

Cook TE. Governing with the news: the news media as a political institution. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2005.

118.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from:
https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

119.

Beharrell P, Hoggart R, Glasgow Media Group. Bad news: Volume 1 [Internet]. Abingdon: Routledge; 2010. Available from:
https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=455480

120.

Lawrence RG. Framing Obesity. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2004 Jul;9(3):56–75.

121.

Lundström R. Framing fraud: Discourse on benefit cheating in Sweden and the UK. European Journal of Communication. 2013 Dec;28(6):630–45.

122.

Miller D. Official sources and `primary definition': the case of Northern Ireland. Media, Culture & Society. 1993 Jul;15(3):385–406.

123.

Philo G, Briant E, Donald P. The role of the press in the war on asylum. Race & Class. 2013 Oct;55(2):28–41.

124.

Semetko HA, Valkenburg PMV. Framing European politics: A Content Analysis of Press and

Television News. Journal of Communication. 2000 Jun 1;50(2):93–109.

125.

Carragee KM, Roefs W. The Neglect of Power in Recent Framing Research. Journal of Communication. 2004 Jun 1;54(2):214–33.

126.

Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing Theory. Annual Review of Political Science. 2007 Jun;10(1):103–26.

127.

Semetko HA, Scammell M. The SAGE handbook of political communication. London: SAGE; 2012.

128.

Entman RM. Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. Journal of Communication. 1993 Dec 1;43(4):51–8.

129.

Gamson WA, Croteau D, Hoynes W, Sasson T. Media Images and the Social Construction of Reality. Annual Review of Sociology [Internet]. 1992;18. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/2083459

130.

Gamson W, Ryan C. Thinking about Elephants. Toward a Dialogue with George Lakoff. Public Eye Magazine [Internet]. 2005; Available from: http://www.publiceye.org/magazine/v19n2/gamson_elephants.html

131.

Goffman E. Frame analysis: an essay on the organization of experience. Northeastern University Press ed. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 1986.

132.

Pan Z, Kosicki G. Framing analysis: An approach to news discourse. Political Communication. 1993;10(1):55–75.

133.

Perloff RM. The dynamics of political communication: media and politics in a digital age [Internet]. Second edition. New York, NY: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2018. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5108778

134.

Reese SD, Gandy OH, Grant AE. Framing public life: perspectives on media and our understanding of the social world. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2003.

135.

Van Gorp B. The Constructionist Approach to Framing: Bringing Culture Back In. Journal of Communication. 2007 Mar;57(1):60–78.

136.

Vliegenthart R, van Zoonen L. Power to the frame: Bringing sociology back to frame analysis. European Journal of Communication. 2011 Jun;26(2):101–15.

137.

Bucy EP, Holbert RL. Sourcebook for political communication research: methods, measures, and analytical techniques. New York: Routledge; 2011.

138.

Matthes J, Kohring M. The Content Analysis of Media Frames: Toward Improving Reliability and Validity. Journal of Communication. 2008 Jun;58(2):258–79.

139.

Murray R. Cracking the highest glass ceiling: a global comparison of women's campaigns for executive office [Internet]. Santa Barbara, Calif: Praeger Publishers; 2010. Available from:
https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9780313382499

140.

Harp D, Loke J, Bachmann I. Hillary Clinton's Benghazi Hearing Coverage: Political Competence, Authenticity, and the Persistence of the Double Bind. Women's Studies in Communication. 2016 Apr 2;39(2):193–210.

141.

Higgins M, McKay FM. Gender and the development of a political persona: The case of Scottish First Minister Nicola Sturgeon. British Politics. 2016 Sep;11(3):283–300.

142.

Miller MK, Peake JS. Press Effects, Public Opinion, and Gender. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2013 Oct;18(4):482–507.

143.

McGregor SC, Lawrence RG, Cardona A. Personalization, gender, and social media: gubernatorial candidates' social media strategies. Information, Communication & Society. 2017 Feb;20(2):264–83.

144.

Meeks L. Is She "Man Enough"? Women Candidates, Executive Political Offices, and News Coverage. Journal of Communication. 2012 Feb;62(1):175–93.

145.

Perry S. Gender Difference in French PoliticalCommunication: From Handicap to Asset? Modern & Contemporary France. 2005 Aug;13(3):337–52.

146.

Ward O. Intersectionality and Press Coverage of Political Campaigns. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2017 Jan;22(1):43–66.

147.

Counting Women In - Centre for Women and Democracy [Internet]. Available from: http://www.cfwd.org.uk/current-projects/counting-women-in

148.

Women and Journalism | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [Internet]. Available from: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/women-and-journalism

149.

Aaldering L, Van Der Pas DJ. Political Leadership in the Media: Gender Bias in Leader Stereotypes during Campaign and Routine Times. British Journal of Political Science. 2018 Mar 5;1–21.

150.

Aday S, Devitt J. Style over Substance: Newspaper Coverage of Elizabeth Dole's Presidential Bid. Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2001 Mar;6(2):52–73.

151.

Adcock C. The Politician, The Wife, The Citizen, and her Newspaper. Feminist Media Studies. 2010 Jun;10(2):135–59.

152.

Bachmann I, Harp D, Loke J. Covering Clinton (2010–2015): meaning-making strategies in US magazine covers. Feminist Media Studies. 2018 Sep 3;18(5):793–809.

153.

Bauer NM. Emotional, Sensitive, and Unfit for Office? Gender Stereotype Activation and Support Female Candidates. Political Psychology. 2015 Dec;36(6):691–708.

154.

Campus D. Women political leaders and the media [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.palgraveconnect.com/doifinder/10.1057/9781137295545

155.

Carroll SJ. Reflections on Gender and Hillary Clinton's Presidential Campaign: The Good, the Bad, and the Misogynic. Politics & Gender. 2009 Mar;5(01).

156.

Craig SC, Rippere PS. He Said, She Said: The Impact of Candidate Gender in Negative Campaigns. Politics & Gender. 2016 Jun;12(02):391–414.

157.

Dunaway J, Lawrence RG, Rose M, Weber CR. Traits versus Issues. Political Research Quarterly. 2013 Sep;66(3):715–26.

158.

Escobar-Lemmon MC, Hoekstra V, Kang A, Kittilson MC. Just the Facts? Media Coverage of Female and Male High Court Appointees in Five Democracies. Politics & Gender. 2016 Jun;12(02):254–74.

159.

Fahey AC. French and Feminine: Hegemonic Masculinity and the Emasculation of John Kerry in the 2004 Presidential Race. Critical Studies in Media Communication. 2007 Jun;24(2):132–50.

160.

Calhoun CJ. Habermas and the public sphere. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press; 1992.

161.

Garcia-Blanco I, Wahl-Jorgensen K. The Discursive Construction of Women Politicians in the European Press. Feminist Media Studies. 2012 Sep;12(3):422–41.

162.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. A Non-Gendered Lens? Media, Voters, and Female Candidates in Contemporary Congressional Elections. Perspectives on Politics. 2015 Mar;13(01):95–118.

163.

Hayes D, Lawless JL. Women on the run: gender, media, and political campaigns in a polarized era. New York NY: Cambridge University Press; 2016.

164.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL. Objectifying Sarah Palin: Evidence that objectification causes women to be perceived as less competent and less fully human. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology. 2009 May;45(3):598–601.

165.

Heflick NA, Goldenberg JL, Cooper DP, Puvia E. From women to objects: Appearance focus, target gender, and perceptions of warmth, morality and competence. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology. 2011 May;47(3):572–81.

166.

Jamieson KH. Beyond the double bind: women and leadership. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1995.

167.

Kahn KF. The political consequences of being a woman: how stereotypes influence the

conduct and consequences of political campaigns. New York: Columbia University Press; 1996.

168.

Kittilson MC, Fridkin K. Gender, Candidate Portrayals and Election Campaigns: A Comparative Perspective. Politics & Gender. 2008 Sep;4(03).

169.

Lachover E. Signs of change in media representation of women in Israeli politics: Leading and peripheral women contenders. Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism. 2017 Apr;18(4):446–63.

170.

Lawrence RG, Rose M. Hillary Clinton's race for the White House: gender politics and the media on the campaign trail. Boulder, Colo: Lynne Rienner Publishers; 2010.

171.

Ross K, Comrie M. The rules of the (leadership) game: Gender, politics and news. Journalism: Theory, Practice & Criticism. 2012 Nov;13(8):969–84.

172.

Meeks L. Getting Personal: Effects of Twitter Personalization on Candidate Evaluations. Politics & Gender. 2017 Mar;13(01):1–25.

173.

Semetko HA, Boomgaarden HG. Reporting Germany's 2005 Bundestag Election Campaign: Was Gender an Issue? Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics. 2007 Oct;12(4):154–71.

174.

Thomas ML, Bittner A, editors. Mothers and others: the role of parenthood in politics.

Vancouver, BC: UBC Press; 2018.

175.

Trimble L, Wagner A, Sampert S, Raphael D, Gerrits B. Is It Personal? Gendered Mediation in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian National Party Leadership Contests, 1975–2012. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2013 Oct;18(4):462–81.

176.

Trimble L, Raphael D, Sampert S, Wagner A, Gerrits B. Politicizing Bodies: Hegemonic Masculinity, Heteronormativity, and Racism in News Representations of Canadian Political Party Leadership Candidates. Women's Studies in Communication. 2015 Jul 3;38(3):314–30.

177.

Trimble LJ (Linda J. Ms. Prime Minister: gender, media, and leadership. Toronto, ON: University of Toronto Press; 2017.

178.

Valenzuela S, Correa T. Press Coverage and Public Opinion On Women Candidates. International Communication Gazette. 2009 Apr;71(3):203–23.

179.

Zoonen L van. Entertaining the citizen: when politics and popular culture converge. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield; 2005.

180.

Wagner A, Trimble L, Sampert S, Gerrits B. Gender, Competitiveness, and Candidate Visibility in Newspaper Coverage of Canadian Party Leadership Contests. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2017 Oct;22(4):471–89.

181.

Ward O. Seeing Double: Race, Gender, and Coverage of Minority Women's Campaigns for the U.S. House of Representatives. Politics & Gender. 2016 Jun;12(02):317–43.

182.

Wasburn PC, Wasburn MH. Media coverage of women in politics: The curious case of Sarah Palin. Media, Culture & Society. 2011 Oct;33(7):1027–41.

183.

Kenski K, Jamieson KH, editors. The Oxford handbook of political communication [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199793471 .001.0001

184.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/978020383987 4

185.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. **Trump and the media [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880

186.

W. Lance Bennett, Shanto Iyengar. A New Era of Minimal Effects? The Changing Foundations of Political Communication. Journal of Communication. 2008 Dec;58(4):707–31.

187.

Heinz Brandenburg, Marcel Van Egmond. Pressed into Party Support? Media Influence on Partisan Attitudes during the 2005 UK General Election Campaign. British Journal of Political Science. 2012 Apr;42(02):441–63.

188.

Curran J. Media and power [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203417744

189.

D. T. Denver. Elections and voters in Britain. 3rd ed. Vol. Series: Contemporary Political Studies. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

190.

David M. Farrell & Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck, editor. Do political campaigns matter?: campaign effects in elections and referendums. London: Routledge; 2002.

191.

Iyengar S, Kinder DR. News that matters: television and American opinion. Updated ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2010.

192.

Kathleen Hall Jamieson. Everything you think you know about politics-- and why you're wrong. New York: Basic Books; 2000.

193.

Paul Felix Lazarsfeld, Bernard Berelson, Hazel Gaudet. The people's choice: how the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign. 3d ed. New York: Columbia University Press; 1965.

194.

Paul Manning. News and news sources: a critical introduction. London: SAGE; 2001.

195.

Doris A. Graber. Media power in politics. 6th ed. Washington, DC: CQ Press; 2011.

196.

William Lockley Miller. Media and voters: the audience, content and influence of press and television at the 1987 General Election. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1991.

197.

Diana Carole Mutz. Impersonal influence: how perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes. Vol. Series: Cambridge studies in political psychology and public opinion. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.

198.

D. C. Mutz, L. Young. Communication and Public Opinion: Plus Ca Change? Public Opinion Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;75(5):1018–44.

199.

NEWTON K. May the weak force be with you: The power of the mass media in modern politics. European Journal of Political Research. 2006 Mar;45(2):209–34.

200.

Pippa Norris. On message: communicating the campaign. London: Sage; 1999.

201.

Samuel L. Popkin. The reasoning voter: communication and persuasion in presidential campaigns. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1991.

202.

John Street. Mass media, politics, and democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave; 2001.

203.

Lynn Vavreck. The message matters: the economy and presidential campaigns [Internet]. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2009. Available from: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=97814008304 80

204.

Lynda Lee Kaid. Handbook of political communication research. Vol. Series: LEA's communication series. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004.

205.

Lawrence Leduc. Opinion change and voting behaviour in referendums. European Journal of Political Research. 2002 Oct;41(6):711–32.

206.

Schuck ART, de Vreese CH. Reversed Mobilization in Referendum Campaigns: How Positive News Framing Can Mobilize the Skeptics. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2008 Nov 3;14(1):40–66.

207.

C. H. de Vreese, Holli A. Semetko. Political campaigning in referendums: framing the referendum issue. Vol. Series: Routledge research in political communication. London: Routledge; 2004.

208.

C. H. de Vreese. The dynamics of referendum campaigns: an international perspective [Internet]. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2007. Available from: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=97802305911 89

209.

M. Wettstein. Frame Adoption in Referendum Campaigns: The Effect of News Coverage on the Public Salience of Issue Interpretations. American Behavioral Scientist. 2012 Mar 1;56(3):318–33.

210.

Chadwick A. **The hybrid media system: politics and power [Internet]. Second edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190696726.001. 0001

211.

Grasseger H, Krogerus M. The Data That Turned the World Upside Down. Motherboard [Internet]. 2017; Available from: https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/mg9vvn/how-our-likes-helped-trump-win

212.

Baldwin-Philippi J. The Myths of Data-Driven Campaigning. Political Communication. 2017 Oct 2;34(4):627–33.

213.

50,000 Heck*ng Facebook Ad Variants?! – Steve Olson – Medium. Available from: https://medium.com/@steveolson/50-000-heck-ng-facebook-ad-variants-31eed233ac4a

214.

Chadwick A, Howard PN, Dawson Books. Routledge handbook of internet politics [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2010. Available from: http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=97802039625 41

215.

Vaccari C, Ebooks Corporation Limited. Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750

216.

Klinger U, Russmann U. "Beer is more efficient than social media"—Political parties and strategic communication in Austrian and Swiss national elections. Journal of Information Technology & Politics. 2017 Oct 2;14(4):299–313.

217.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. Information, Communication & Society. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719.

218.

Theviot A. Towards a standardization of campaign strategies dictated by the Obama 'model'? The case of 'American-style' canvassing during the 2012 French presidential election campaign. French Politics. 2016 Jun;14(2):158–77.

219.

Digital-Born and Legacy News Media on Twitter during the UK General Election [Internet]. Available from: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Digital-Born%20and%20 Legacy%20News%20Media%20UK%20Factsheet.pdf

220.

Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016. Volume 14, Issue 2, June 2016 [Internet]. Available from: https://link-springer-com.ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/journal/41253/14/2

221.

Jungherr A. Four Functions of Digital Tools in Election Campaigns. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2016 Jul;21(3):358–77.

222.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian

parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. Information, Communication & Society. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719.

223.

Magin M, Podschuweit N, Haßler J, Russmann U. Campaigning in the fourth age of political communication. A multi-method study on the use of Facebook by German and Austrian parties in the 2013 national election campaigns. Information, Communication & Society. 2017 Nov 2;20(11):1698–719.

224.

Dommett K, Temple L. Digital Campaigning: The Rise of Facebook and Satellite Campaigns. Parliamentary Affairs. 2018 Mar 1;71(suppl_1):189–202.

225.

Wring D, Mortimore R, Atkinson S, editors. Political Communication in Britain [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-40934-4

226.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. Routledge handbook of political advertising [Internet]. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9781315694504

227.

Alexander JC. The performance of politics: Obama's victory and the democratic struggle for power [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2010. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199744466.001.0001

228.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. Trump and the media [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880

229.

Enli G. Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: exploring the social media campaigns of Trump and Clinton in the 2016 US presidential election. European Journal of Communication. 2017 Feb;32(1):50–61.

230.

Karpf D. Digital politics after Trump. Annals of the International Communication Association. 2017 Apr 3;41(2):198–207.

231.

Faris RM, Roberts H, Etling B, Bourassa N, Zuckerman E, Benkler Y. Partisanship, Propaganda, and Disinformation: Online Media and the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election. 2017; Available from: https://cyber.harvard.edu/publications/2017/08/mediacloud

232.

Issenberg S. The victory lab: the secret science of winning campaigns. First paperback edition. New York: B/D/W/Y, Broadway Books; 2013.

233.

Kim YM, Hsu J, Neiman D, Kou C, Bankston L, Kim SY, et al. The stealth media? Groups and targets behind divisive issue campaigns on Facebook. Political Communication. 2018 Oct 2;35(4):515–41.

234.

Kreiss D, McGregor SC. Technology firms shape political communication: The work of Microsoft, Facebook, Twitter, and Google with campaigns during the 2016 US presidential cycle. Political Communication. 2018 Apr 3;35(2):155–77.

235.

Kreiss D. Trump, Breitbart, and the Rejection of Multicultural Democracy – Medium. 2017; Available from:

https://medium.com/@dkreiss/trump-breitbart-and-the-rejection-of-multicultural-democracy-90f3f776bebd

236.

Lawrence RG, Boydstun AE. What We Should Really Be Asking About Media Attention to Trump. Political Communication. 2017 Jan 2;34(1):150–3.

237.

Lilleker D, Jackson D, Thorsen E, Veneti A, editors. US Election Analysis 2016: Media, Voters and the Campaign [Internet]. Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK; 2016. Available from: http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/

238.

Patterson TE. Pre-Primary News Coverage of the 2016 Presidential Race: Trump's Rise, Sanders' Emergence, Clinton's Struggle - Shorenstein Centre on Media, Politics and Public Policy. 2016; Available from: https://shorensteincenter.org/pre-primary-news-coverage-2016-trump-clinton-sanders

239.

Penney J. Social Media and Citizen Participation in "Official" and "Unofficial" Electoral Promotion: A Structural Analysis of the 2016 Bernie Sanders Digital Campaign. Journal of Communication. 2017 Jun;67(3):402–23.

240.

Sides J, Farrell H. The Science of Trump: Explaining the Rise of an Unlikely Candidate [Internet]. The Monkey Cage; 2016. Available from: https://www.amazon.co.uk/Science-Trump-Explaining-Unlikely-Candidate-ebook/dp/B01IL9DTG8

241.

Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. Trump and the media [Internet]. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880

242.

Wells C, Shah DV, Pevehouse JC, Yang J, Pelled A, Boehm F, et al. How Trump Drove
Coverage to the Nomination: Hybrid Media Campaigning. Political Communication. 2016
Oct;33(4):669–76.

243.

Blumler JG. Guest contribution by Jay G. Blumler: "The Fourth Age of Political
Communication" [Internet]. 2013. Available from:
http://www.fgpk.de/en/2013/gastbeitrag-von-jay-g-blumler-the-fourth-age-of-political-com
munication-2/

244.

Downs WM. There Goes the Neighbourhood? The 'Americanisation' of Elections, with
Evidence from Scotland's Parliament. Parliamentary Affairs. 2012 Oct 1;65(4):758–77.

245.

Caramani D, editor. Comparative politics. Fourth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford
University Press; 2017.

246.

Esser F, Pfetsch B. Comparing political communication: theories, cases, and challenges.
Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2004.

247.

Holtz-Bacha C, Just MR. Routledge handbook of political advertising [Internet]. New York,
NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/97
81315694504

248.

Norris P. A virtuous circle: political communications in postindustrial societies. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press; 2000.

249.

Scammell M. Consumer democracy: the marketing of politics [Internet]. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046107

250.

Strömbäck J, Kaid LL. The handbook of election news coverage around the world. New York: Routledge; 2008.

251.

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. Vol. volume 18. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

252.

Swanson DL, Mancini P. Politics, media, and modern democracy: an international study of innovations in electoral campaigning and their consequences. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1996.

253.

Tenscher J, Mykkänen J, Moring T. Modes of professional campaigning: A four country comparison in the European parliamentary elections, 2009. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2012 Apr;17(2):145–68.

254.

Tenscher J, Koc-Michalska K, Lilleker DG, Mykkänen J, Walter AS, Findor A, et al. The professionals speak: Practitioners' perspectives on professional election campaigning. European Journal of Communication. 2016 Apr;31(2):95–119.

255.

West DM, Brookings Institution. Air wars: television advertising and social media in election campaigns, 1952-2016. 7 edition. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE/CQ Press; 2018.

256.

Baldwin-Philippi J. Using technology, building democracy: digital campaigning and the construction of citizenship [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2015. Available from:
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/97801902319 10.001.0001

257.

Bennett WL, Segerberg A, Knüpfer CB. The democratic interface: technology, political organization, and diverging patterns of electoral representation. Information, Communication & Society. 2018 Nov 2;21(11):1655–80.

258.

Bruns A, Enli G, Skogerbø E, Larsson AO, Christensen C, editors. The Routledge companion to social media and politics [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2015. Available from:
http://GLA.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=4218813

259.

Chadwick A, Stromer-Galley J, editors. Special Issue Title: Digital Media, Power, and Democracy in Election Campaigns. The International Journal of Press/Politics [Internet]. 21(3). Available from:
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://journals.sagepub.com/toc/hijb/21/3

260.

Dimitrova DV, Matthes J. Social Media in Political Campaigning Around the World: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly. 2018 Jun;95(2):333–42.

261.

Freelon D, Karpf D. Of big birds and bayonets: hybrid Twitter interactivity in the 2012 Presidential debates. Information, Communication & Society. 2015 Apr 3;18(4):390–406.

262.

Gibson RK, McAllister I. Normalising or equalising party competition? Assessing the impact of the web on election campaigning. Political Studies. 2015 Aug;63(3):529–47.

263.

Karpf D. The MoveOn effect: the unexpected transformation of American political advocacy [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/97801998983 67.001.0001

264.

Karpf D. Analytic activism: digital listening and the new political strategy [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190266127. 001.0001

265.

Kreiss D. Taking our country back: the crafting of networked politics from Howard Dean to Barack Obama [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/97801997825 36.001.0001

266.

Coleman S, Freelon D, editors. Handbook of digital politics. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2015.

267.

Kreiss D. Prototype politics: technology-intense campaigning and the data of democracy [Internet]. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199350247. 001.0001

268.

Kreiss D. Seizing the moment: The presidential campaigns' use of Twitter during the 2012 electoral cycle. New Media & Society. 2016 Sep;18(8):1473–90.

269.

Lilleker DG, Jackson NA. Political campaigning, elections and the internet: comparing the US, UK, France and Germany. Vol. 4. London: Routledge; 2011.

270.

Lilleker DG, Tenscher J, Štětka V. Towards hypermedia campaigning? Perceptions of new media's importance for campaigning by party strategists in comparative perspective. Information, Communication & Society. 2015 Jul 3;18(7):747–65.

271.

Nielsen RK. Ground wars: personalized communication in political campaigns. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2012.

272.

Stromer-Galley J. Presidential campaigning in the Internet age [Internet]. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199731930. 001.0001

273.

Vaccari C. From echo chamber to persuasive device? Rethinking the role of the Internet in campaigns. New Media & Society. 2013 Feb;15(1):109–27.

274.

Vaccari C. Digital politics in Western democracies: a comparative study [Internet]. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2013. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=3318750

275.

Fisher J, editor. The Routledge handbook of elections, voting behavior and public opinion [Internet]. London, [England]: Routledge; 2018. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/978131571239 0

276.

Dawson Books. Populist political communication in Europe [Internet]. Aalberg T, Esser F, Strömbäck J, Vreese CH de, editors. Vol. 1. New York, NY: Routledge; 2017. Available from: https://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=GlasgowUni&isbn=9781315623 016

277.

US Election Analysis 2016 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.electionanalysis2016.us/us-election-analysis-2016/introduction/

278.

Mark Rice-Oxley. How to spot a populist. Guardian [Internet]. 2018 Dec 3; Available from: https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/dec/03/what-is-populism-trump-farage-orban-bol sonaro

279.

Ernst N, Esser F, Blassnig S, Engesser S. Favorable Opportunity Structures for Populist Communication: Comparing Different Types of Politicians and Issues in Social Media, Television and the Press. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Dec 22;

280.

Wettstein M, Esser F, Schulz A, Wirz DS, Wirth W. News Media as Gatekeepers, Critics, and Initiators of Populist Communication: How Journalists in Ten Countries Deal with the Populist Challenge. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Oct;23(4):476–95.

281.

Albertazzi D, McDonnell D. Twenty-first century populism: the spectre of Western European democracy. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.

282.

Elena Block. The Populist Communication Style: Toward a Critical Framework. International Journal of Communication [Internet]. 2017;11. Available from: https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5820

283.

Bos L, van der Brug W, de Vreese C. How the Media Shape Perceptions of Right-Wing Populist Leaders. Political Communication. 2011 Apr 28;28(2):182–206.

284.

Bos L, Brants K. Populist rhetoric in politics and media: A longitudinal study of the Netherlands. European Journal of Communication. 2014 Dec;29(6):703–19.

285.

de Vreese CH, Esser F, Aalberg T, Reinemann C, Stanyer J. Populism as an Expression of Political Communication Content and Style: A New Perspective. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2018 Oct;23(4):423–38.

286.

Jagers J, Walgrave S. Populism as political communication style: An empirical study of political parties' discourse in Belgium. European Journal of Political Research. 2007 May;46(3):319–45.

287.

Jensen MJ, Bang HP. Populism and Connectivism: An Analysis of the Sanders and Trump Nomination Campaigns. Journal of Political Marketing. 2017 Oct 2;16(3–4):343–64.

288.

Kavada A. Editorial: media and the 'populist moment'. Media, Culture & Society. 2018 Jul;40(5):742–4.

289.

ProQuest (Firm). Trump and the media [Internet]. Boczkowski PJ, Papacharissi Z, editors. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press; 2018. Available from: https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/gla/detail.action?docID=5326880

290.

Mazzoleni G, Stewart J, Horsfield B. The media and neo-populism: a contemporary comparative analysis. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 2003.

291.

Murphy J, Devine D. Does Media Coverage Drive Public Support for UKIP or Does Public Support for UKIP Drive Media Coverage? British Journal of Political Science. 2018 Jul 31;1–18.

292.

Rooduijn M. The Mesmerising Message: The Diffusion of Populism in Public Debates in Western European Media. Political Studies. 2014 Dec;62(4):726–44.

293.

Thesen G. News content and populist radical right party support. The case of Denmark. Electoral Studies. 2018 Dec;56:80–9.

294.

Vliegenthart R, Boomgaarden HG, Van Spanje J. Anti-Immigrant Party Support and Media Visibility: A Cross-Party, Over-Time Perspective. Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties. 2012 Aug;22(3):315–58.

295.

Wirth W. The appeal of populist ideas, strategies and styles: A theoretical model and research design for analyzing populist political communication — NCCR Democracy [Internet]. 2016. Available from:
http://www.nccr-democracy.uzh.ch/publications/workingpaper/wp88

296.

Wood M, Corbett J, Flinders M. Just like us: Everyday celebrity politicians and the pursuit of popularity in an age of anti-politics. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2016 Aug;18(3):581–98.

297.

Political populism: a handbook, Heinisch: Christina Holtz-Bacha, Oscar Mazzoleni (eds.). 1st edition. Vol. Band 3. Baden-Baden: Nomos; 2017.

298.

Inglehart R, Norris P. Trump, Brexit, and the Rise of Populism: Economic Have-Nots and Cultural Backlash. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2016;

299.

Rovira Kaltwasser C, Taggart PA, Ochoa Espejo P, Ostiguy P, editors. The Oxford handbook of populism [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2017. Available from:
https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198803560.001.0001

300.

Müller JW. What is populism? Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2016.

301.

March L. Left and right populism compared: The British case. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations. 2017 May;19(2):282–303.

302.

Moffitt B, Tormey S. Rethinking Populism: Politics, Mediatisation and Political Style. Political Studies. 2014 Jun;62(2):381–97.

303.

Mudde C. The Populist Zeitgeist. Government and Opposition. 2004 Sep;39(4):542–63.

304.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. Populism in Europe and the Americas: Threat or Corrective for Democracy? [Internet]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012. Available from: http://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139152365

305.

Mudde C, Rovira Kaltwasser C. Populism: a very short introduction. Vol. 510. New York: Oxford University Press; 2017.

306.

Oliver JE, Rahn WM. Rise of the. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2016 Sep;667(1):189–206.

307.

Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: A Quantitative Text Analysis of Party Literature in Belgium. Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties. 2011 Feb;21(1):97–119.

308.

Rooduijn M, Pauwels T. Measuring Populism: Comparing Two Methods of Content Analysis. West European Politics. 2011 Nov;34(6):1272–83.

309.

Snow D, Moffitt B. Straddling the divide: mainstream populism and conservatism in Howard's Australia and Harper's Canada. Commonwealth & Comparative Politics. 2012 Jul;50(3):271–92.

310.

Stoker G, Hay C. Understanding and Challenging Populist Negativity towards Politics: The Perspectives of British Citizens. Political Studies. 2017 Mar;65(1):4–23.

311.

Weyland K. Clarifying a Contested Concept: Populism in the Study of Latin American Politics. Comparative Politics. 2001 Oct;34(1).

312.

Sevenans J. One concept, many interpretations: the media's causal roles in political agenda-setting processes. European Political Science Review. 2018 May;10(02):245–65.

313.

Wolfsfeld G. Making sense of media and politics: five principles in political communication [Internet]. New York: Routledge; 2011. Available from: https://ezproxy.lib.gla.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203839874

314.

Dekker R, Scholten P. Framing the Immigration Policy Agenda. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2017 Apr;22(2):202–22.

315.

Elmelund-Præstekær C, Wien C. What's the Fuss About? The Interplay of Media Hypes and Politics. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2008 Jul;13(3):247–66.

316.

Ihlen Ø, Thorbjørnsrud K. Making news and influencing decisions: Three threshold cases concerning forced return of immigrants. European Journal of Communication. 2014 Apr;29(2):139–52.

317.

Melenhorst L. The Media's Role in Lawmaking. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2015 Jul;20(3):297–316.

318.

Milne K. Manufacturing dissent : single-issue protest, the public and the press [Internet]. Demos; 2005. Available from: https://www.demos.co.uk/files/manufacturingdissent.pdf

319.

Robinson P. Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics. European Journal of Communication. 2001 Dec;16(4):523–44.

320.

Aday S, Livingston S. Taking the state out of state—media relations theory: how transnational advocacy networks are changing the press—state dynamic. Media, War & Conflict. 2008 Apr;1(1):99–107.

321.

Reinemann C, editor. Political communication. Vol. volume 18. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton; 2014.

322.

Van Aelst P, Walgrave S. Information and Arena: The Dual Function of the News Media for Political Elites. Journal of Communication. 2016 Jun;66(3):496–518.

323.

Iyengar S, Reeves R. Do the media govern?: politicians, voters, and reporters in America. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 1997.

324.

Schnell KC Frauke. Assessing the Democratic Debate: How the News Media Frame Elite Policy Discourse. Political Communication. 2001 Apr;18(2):183–213.

325.

Joly J. Do the Media Influence Foreign Aid Because or in Spite of the Bureaucracy? A Case Study of Belgian Aid Determinants. Political Communication. 2014 Oct 2;31(4):584–603.

326.

Jones BD, Baumgartner FR. From There to Here: Punctuated Equilibrium to the General Punctuation Thesis to a Theory of Government Information Processing. Policy Studies Journal. 2012 Feb;40(1):1–20.

327.

Kennamer JD. Public opinion, the press, and public policy. Paperback ed. Westport, Conn: Praeger; 1994.

328.

Kingdon JW. Agendas, alternatives, and public policies. 2nd ed. New York: Longman; 2003.

329.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making [Internet]. Vol. 66. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010. Available from: http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=257161&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth

330.

Koch-Baumgarten S, Voltmer K. Public policy and mass media: the interplay of mass communication and political decision making. Vol. 66. London: Routledge/ECPR; 2010.

331.

Page BI, Shapiro RY. The rational public: fifty years of trends in Americans' policy preferences. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.

332.

Walgrave S, Soroka S, Nuytemans M. The Mass Media's Political Agenda-Setting Power. Comparative Political Studies. 2008 Jun;41(6):814–36.

333.

Walgrave S, Van Aelst P. The Contingency of the Mass Media's Political Agenda Setting Power: Toward a Preliminary Theory. Journal of Communication. 2006 Mar 1;56(1):88–109.

334.

Yanovitzky I. Effects of News Coverage on Policy Attention and Actions. Communication Research. 2002 Aug;29(4):422–51.

335.

Bennett WL, Paletz DL. Taken by storm: the media, public opinion, and U.S. foreign policy in the Gulf War. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press; 1994.

336.

Gilboa E. The CNN Effect: The Search for a Communication Theory of International Relations. Political Communication. 2005 Feb 16;22(1):27–44.

337.

Livingston S. Clarifying the CNN Effect: An Examination of Media Effects According to Type of Military Intervention - Shorenstein Center [Internet]. Vol. Research Paper R-18. The Joan Shorenstein Center on the Press, Politics and Public Policy; 1997. Available from: https://shorensteincenter.org/clarifying-the-cnn-effect-media-effects-and-military-intervention/

338.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention [Internet]. London: Routledge; 2002. Available from: http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=10716&entityid=https://idp.gla.ac.uk/shibboleth

339.

Robinson P. The CNN effect: the myth of news foreign policy and intervention. New York: Routledge; 2002.

340.

Wolfsfeld G. Media and political conflict: news from the Middle East. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.